



# INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Capital Planning and Facilities

2901 E Discovery Parkway

Bloomington, IN 47408

## RFP for Professional Services

### **Addendum #1**

---

Project: Transportation and Pedestrian Safety Plan – IU Bloomington

Date: February 2, 2026

---

#### ITEMS INCLUDED IN THIS ADDENDUM

##### **1. Questions received via e-mail**

<b>Q1</b>	While parking is called out in Task 1 and Task 2 in the RFP, there is no mention of it in Task 3. Presumably, parking strategies, including demand management and potentially TDM strategies, should be part of the Multimodal Transportation Plan. Could you confirm that this is the case, or if it is the case that the University does not desire a focus on parking in this task?
<b>A1</b>	The University would work with selected consultants to refine this aspect of the scope of work, but it is not intended that this study be considered a planning process around parking as a whole.
<b>Q2</b>	Under Task 3: Transit Services, the RFP mentions "Improved bus stops coordination..." - Is this about scheduling service, coordinating service to create transfer points, or sharing the infrastructure with Bloomington Transit?
<b>A2</b>	The University would anticipate that the selected consultants would assist with determining how our pedestrian systems interact and involve transit systems in the community.
<b>Q3</b>	Scope of Work, Task 3: Development of a Multimodal Transportation Plan - Campus Gateways and Arrival Experience: IUB has a number of existing gateways that mark the campus edges; can you provide more insight into what the University anticipates for the "front door" and "side door" entry experiences, potential locations, and how this differs from or supplements existing campus gateways?  How does this item relate to other elements of the transportation plan?
<b>A3</b>	IU is looking to build upon and enhance a variety of arrival sequences and would look to this study to continue to offer insight.  IU is seeking a more comprehensive front door that accommodates the vehicular experience.

<b>Q4</b>	Proposal Requirements – Project Schedule: Is there a desired timeline or duration for completion of the services?
<b>A4</b>	IU would welcome suggested project schedules that accommodate the tasks as considered by the RFP document but does not anticipate this work taking longer than the calendar year of 2026. As with nearly all activities, IU welcomes consultants that can move quickly and bring projects such as this to a quick completion so that the work of implementation can begin in earnest.
<b>Q5</b>	Proposal Requirements – Fee Proposal: Can you provide an approximate budget range for professional fees?
<b>A5</b>	IU recognizes that there are many variables in a planning study such as proposed but would like to also get a sense of cost range for work of this scope from the proposing consultant. We cannot provide an exact budget range.
<b>Q6</b>	Who will serve as the primary project manager for this plan on the IU side?
<b>A6</b>	The University will manage the project internally and the process for that management is at the University's discretion.
<b>Q7</b>	Can you share more about the selection committee, and will this same group also provide oversight or guidance throughout the project?
<b>A7</b>	The University intends to evaluate firms as described in the RFP Document.
<b>Q8</b>	For Task 2: Existing Conditions, could you clarify whether IU has already compiled the relevant data and is seeking consultant analysis, or if the consultant team will be responsible for both data collection and analysis?
<b>A8</b>	It is anticipated that some, but maybe not all, data will be available for use by the consulting team. Negotiation of cost will be anticipated for additional costs to achieve the needed data collection.
<b>Q9</b>	What existing datasets and materials are currently available, and what additional data or deliverables would you anticipate the consultant team developing?
<b>A9</b>	See Answer 8.

END OF ADDENDUM